

University:

Topic:

### Research Symposium Judging Rubric

Criteria	Expert - 4	Proficient - 3	Apprentice - 2	Novice - 1	Score
<b>Organization / Flow</b>	Clear navigation with numbered or visual guides. Content is logically arranged and easy to follow.	Navigation is implied through headings and structure. The flow is understandable without narration.	Limited organization, causing some confusion. Navigation is minimal.	No clear structure. Information is difficult to follow.	
<b>Visual Presentation</b>	Well-designed, uncluttered layout with engaging graphics. Title and authors are prominently displayed. Readability is excellent.	Visually appealing with minimal clutter. Graphics and formatting support readability.	Some clutter; colors and fonts may hinder readability. Graphics are present but not well integrated.	Poor design, cluttered layout, and inconsistent formatting. Graphics do not enhance the content.	
<b>Oral Presentation of Research</b>	Clearly and concisely presents key points. All members contribute, engage, and answer questions effectively.	Well-organized presentation with mostly effective answers. Minor clarification may be needed.	Somewhat disorganized, with limited engagement. Answers may be unclear or incomplete.	Disorganized, with little engagement. Speakers read directly from the poster and struggle with questions.	
<b>Documentation/Quality of Sources</b>	Cites all data obtained from other sources. APA citation style is accurate.	Cites most data obtained from other sources. APA citation style is accurate.	Cites some data obtained from other sources. Citation style is either inconsistent or incorrect.	Does not cite sources.	
<b>Spelling &amp; Grammar</b>	No spelling & grammar mistakes.	Minimal spelling & grammar mistakes.	Noticeable spelling and grammar mistakes.	Excessive spelling and/or grammar mistakes.	
<b>Conclusion / Preconclusion</b>	Clearly summarizes results, significance, and limitations. Addresses inconsistencies and suggests improvements.	Mostly aligns with data but may include minor assumptions. Future steps are briefly mentioned.	Some assumptions and unclear explanations. Limited discussion of future work.	Conclusion is weak, inaccurate, or lacks relevance. No future steps provided.	

Comments:

Total Score: